The Effectiveness of the Unified Protocol on Emotional Dysregulation and Cognitive Emotion Regulation Strategies in Patients with Psychosomatic Disorders

Mina Mazaheri¹, Hamed Daghaghzadeh², Hamid Afshar³, Narges Mohammadi⁴

¹ Psychologist, Psychosomatic Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Integrative Functional Gastroenterology Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

³ Associate Professor, Psychosomatic Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

⁴ PhD Student, Psychosomatic Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Quantitative Study

Abstract

Background: The unified treatment approach (UP) is an emotion-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy in which the main object of treatment is emotional processes. The aim of the present research was to examine the effectiveness of The Unified Protocol (UP) on emotional dysregulation and cognitive emotion regulation strategies in patients with psychosomatic disorders.

Methods: Emotion-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (ECBT), a unified treatment, with 12 weekly group sessions of 2 hours, was presented to 14 patients with psychosomatic complaints at the Subspecialty Center of Psychiatry in Isfahan in 2013. Pre- and post-intervention assessments were done by means of the self-report tests of Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS) and Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (CERQ).

Results: Significant reductions in post-test scores of total emotional dysregulation (p < 0.01) as well as the factors of non-acceptance (p < 0.05) and strategy (p < 0.01) were seen, while the other factors (goal, impulse, awareness, and clarity) did not change. Moreover, a significant reduction was observed in the catastrophizing strategy score (p < 0.05), in comparison with other cognitive strategies.

Conclusion: This pilot study including 14 patients with psychosomatic disorders indicates that the Unified treatment approach is an effective treatment in improvement of emotional dysregulation and in reduction of utilizing maladaptive cognitive strategies.

Keywords: The unified protocol, Emotional dysregulation, Cognitive emotion regulation strategies, Psychosomatic disorders

Citation: Mazaheri M, Daghaghzadeh H, Afshar H, Mohammadi N. **The Effectiveness of the Unified Protocol on Emotional Dysregulation and Cognitive Emotion Regulation Strategies in Patients with Psychosomatic Disorders**, Int J. Pody Mind Culture 2014; 1(1): 72–82

Received: 25 Sep 2013 Accepted: 7 Dec 2013

Disorders. Int J Body Mind Culture 2014; 1(1): 73-82.

Introduction

Emotions are one of the important aspects of

Corresponding Author: Mina Mazaheri Email: mina.mazaheri@gmail.com human psychology. They occur due to difference between the perceived actuality and individual desires (Payne, 2013). Emotions are a multifaceted phenomenon that involve alterations in the domains of subjective experience, behavior, and peripheral physiology

Int J Body Mind Culture, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2014

http://ijbmc.org

(Mauss, Levenson, McCarter, Wilhelm, & Gross, 2005). Thus, they can affect physiological responses, social interactions, and subjective processes such as attention, memory, and decision making at any time (Hassani, Azadfallah, & Rasoulzade-Tabatabaei, 2009). The flexibility feature of emotions raises the possibility of their regulation (Gross, 2008). Therefore, individuals will be able to influence the intensity, and duration of their emotional experiences (Hassani, 2011).

As such, the term emotion regulation does not refer to the same phenomenon, indeed, it is known as a broad concept that includes the domain of partly related processes (Thompson, 1994). In general, the concept of emotion regulation consists of awareness and understanding of emotions, acceptance of emotions, and the ability to control impulsive behaviors and behave according to the intended targets when experiencing negative emotions, and the ability to use situationally suitable emotion regulation strategies flexibly to adjust emotional responses in encountering personal goals and situation needs. The relative lack of any or all of these capabilities represent difficulties in emotion regulation or emotional dysregulation (Gratz & Roemer, 2004).

Difficulties in emotion regulation may underlie many clinical behaviors and psychological difficulties for individuals who are seeking treatment (Grat & Tull, 2013). In fact, emotion regulation is a psychological process mood stabilization integral to and also psychopathology (Heiy, Besides, 2010). emotional dysregulation may interfere with the important domains of life and personal performance (e.g., work and relationships) (Erismana, Salters-Pedneaultb, & Roemer, 2013).

One of the factors engaged in emotion regulation is the use of coping strategies that regulate emotions in the cognitive and behavioral aspects, and the combination of both (Parkinson & Totterdell, 1999). Emotion regulation strategies are considered further from two frameworks. The first consists of strategies that are activated before the occurrence of an accident or at the beginning of it. These strategies are important in the controlling of negative emotions caused by accidents. The second consists of strategies that are activated after the occurrence of an accident or the formation of emotion (Gross, 1998). Garnefski, Kraaij, and Spinhoven (2001, 2002) introduced 9 cognitive emotion regulation strategies and divided them into two categories of adaptive (acceptance, positive reappraisal, putting into perspective, planning refocusing, and positive refocusing), and maladaptive (rumination or focus on thought, self-blame, blaming others, catastrophizing). Emotion regulation through cognitions is one of the basic necessities of human life, because they help us respond to our surrounding environmental events with greater flexibility (Garnefski, Rieffe, Jellesma, Terwogt, & Kraaij, 2007; Rezwan, Bahrami, & Abedi, 2006).

Individuals who utilize maladaptive cognitive strategies such as rumination, selfblame, and catastrophizing may be more vulnerable to emotional problems than others. However, others who use adaptive strategies, such as positive reappraisal, may be less vulnerable (Garnefski & Kraaij, 2006a). According to Garnefski et al. (2001) and Salehi, Baghban, Bahrami, and Ahmadi (2011), using the strategies of rumination and catastrophizing in encountering stress leads to further emotional problems. The results of a meta-analysis review showed that internalizing disorders (depression and anxiety) were more consistently associated with dysfunctional regulatory strategies than externalizing disorders (Aldao, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Schweizer, 2010). Actually, it seems that the model of adaptive versus maladaptive strategy is an important predictor of mood as well as symptoms of depression. Especially, the use of an adaptive strategy alone or in conjunction with a maladaptive strategy is accompanied with higher mood than the use of at least one maladaptive strategy alone (Heiy, 2010).

The treatment approach for patients with difficulties in emotion regulation should involve treatment manners that have the ability to increase emotional awareness, and to regulate internal tensions and states of emotional arousal through cognitive processes (Taylor, 1984, 1987). The Unified Protocol (UP) is a transdiagnostic, emotion-focused cognitive behavioral therapy, which accentuates the adaptive and functional nature of emotions, and seeks to identify and maladaptive attempts correct to regulate emotional experiences, thereby facilitating appropriate processing and extinction of extreme emotional answering to both internal and external signs (Wilamowska et al., 2010). Unified approaches, treatment based on emotion regulation skills, are applicable to a wide range of emotional disorders (Allen, Tsao, Seidman, Ehrenreich-May, & Zeltzer, 2012). According to Allen, McHugh, & Barlow (2007), a Unified Protocol for the treatment of emotional disorders has four basic treatment components of (a) increasing emotional awareness; (b) facilitating flexibility in assessments; (c) identification and prevention emotional of and behavioral avoidance; (d) internal and situational encountering of emotional symptoms and changing emotion driven behaviors.

The efficacy of the Unified Protocol in the transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders has been studied in adults in (a) a case study on one patient with anxiety disorders (obsessivecompulsive, panic, and generalized anxiety) (Boisseau, Farchione, Fairholme, Ellard, & Barlow, 2010); (b) 3 patients with generalized anxiety disorder with a comorbid emotional disorder (Abdi, 2012); (c) a clinical sample of 15 patients with mood and anxiety disorders (Ellard, Fairholme, Boisseau, Farchione, & Barlow, 2010); (d) a diagnostically heterogeneous sample of 37 patients with a principal anxiety disorder (Farchione et al., 2012). Moreover, it has been studied in adolescences in (a) a clinical sample of 15, 14-18 year old, girls with epilepsy (Esmaeili, Aghaei, Abedi, Esmaeili, & Aghaei, 2012); (b) 3 adolescents presenting an array of anxiety

and depression symptoms (Ehrenreich, Goldstein, Wright, & Barlow, 2009). In addition, the treatment model was examined in decreasing preclinical anxiety and depression symptoms (depression, anxiety, stress, emotion regulation, positive and negative emotions, and occupational and social adjustment) of 15 students (Mohammadi, Birashk, & Gharaie, 2013). In general, the results of all of the above studies have confirmed the efficacy of this protocol in the form of individual or group therapy for emotional disorders, and have supported transdiagnostic treatment approach in the process of emotion regulation.

Since psychosomatic medicine is the domain that evaluates physical diseases from the standpoint of emotional disorders, it is assumed that psychosomatic disorders likely profit from the interventions based on emotion regulation. On the other hand, it seems that the unified have treatment approaches not been implemented on psychosomatic diseases so far, especially in the form of group therapy. Hence, this study intends to evaluate the effectiveness of the Unified Protocol on emotional dysregulation and cognitive emotion regulation strategies in patients with psychosomatic disorders.

Methods

Subjects

The present research was a clinical-trial among patients with psychosomatic complaints (digestion and skin). Twenty patients referred to the psychosomatic clinics (located in the Subspecialty Center of Psychiatry of Isfahan) were recruited. However, at the end, fourteen patients (13 patients with FGID and 1 patient with dermatitis) fulfilled the whole treatment course. All patients were suffering from emotional problems that were diagnosed by psychiatrists when examined in psychosomatic clinics.

Instruments

The difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS)

The scale is a self-report measure developed by Gratz & Roemer (2004) to assess difficulties in emotion regulation. The DERS can distinguish adaptive emotion regulation from emotional avoidance and expressive control. The scale is composed of 6 factors, including non-acceptance of emotional responses (non-acceptance), difficulties in engaging in goal-directed behavior (goal), impulse control difficulties (impulse), lack of emotional awareness (awareness), limited access to emotion regulation strategies (strategy), and lack of emotional clarity (clarity). The DERS has 36 items that are rated on a five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always), and are recoded so that higher scores in every case indicate greater difficulties in emotion regulation (i.e., greater emotion dysregulation). The scale has high internal consistency; Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.93$ for total DERS, Cronbach's $\alpha > 80$ for each factor, and test-retest = 0.87 for total DERS and ranging from 0.69 to 0.89 for all factors (Gratz & Roemer, 2004). In an Iranian normal sample, internal consistency of the scale using Cronbach's a ranged from 0.66 to 0.88 for all factors (khanzadeh, Saidiyan, Hosseinchary, & Edrissi, 2012).

Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (CERQ)

The multidimensional CERQ was constructed to define what someone thinks after the experience of threatening or stressful events. The CERQ comprises 9 conceptually distinct subscales; acceptance, putting into perspective, positive refocusing, planning refocusing, positive reappraisal, catastrophizing, rumination, selfblame, and blaming others. The original scale of the CERQ has 36 items that must be measured on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always) (Garnefski, Kraaij, & Spinhoven, 2001). Score of each subscale can be obtained by summing the scores of items belonging to the particular subscale. The higher the subscale score, the more the specific cognitive strategy is used (Garnefski, Teerds, Kraaij, Legerstee, & van den Kommer, 2004). The short 18-item version of the CERQ with two-item subscales was developed by Garnefski & Kraaij (2006b). In assessing validity and reliability, the version of CERQ-18 had Persian good psychometric features. Cronbach's alpha coefficients were estimated for the subscales; ranging from 0.68 (acceptance) to 0.82 (planning refocusing) (Hassani, 2011).

Procedure

Before intervention, emotional dysregulation and cognitive strategies in the patients were assessed by means of the self-report tests of DERS and CERQ. Thereafter, the patients attended the ECBT in 12 weekly group sessions of 2 hours. Finally, the same assessments were done after the intervention. Two researchers, both of whom were trained in psychotherapy, took part in the study as psychotherapists. Content of the sessions (presented in Table 1) originated from the Unified Protocol based on instructions of Allen et al. (2007).

The main components	Sessions	The titles of each of the sessions
Psychological	$\frac{1}{2}$	Emotion identification and naming (emotional self-awareness) Recognition of the consequences of emotions and recognition of traumatic emotions
concepts training	3	Overcoming obstacles of positive emotions
	4	Evaluation and interpretation of external events (cognitive errors)
Cognitive	5	Interpretations and their modification
reappraisal	6	Reducing cognitive vulnerability
	7	Recognition of and understanding the problem-solving process
Avoid emotional	8	Awareness of the consequences of emotional avoidance
inhibition	9	Acceptance of emotions
Emotional	10	Encountering emotions
	11	Action tendency against emotions
encountering	12	Summary and wrap-up of the titles of previous sessions

Table 1. The Topics of Grou	p Sessions of ECBT Originated from the Unified Protocol
	p bessions of head i offginated from the officied i fotoeof

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive analysis was expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the correlation between emotional dysregulation and cognitive strategies.

To assess the effectiveness of the intervention, differences between means were analyzed with paired t-test. Dependent variables were the CERQ subscales (acceptance, putting into perspective, positive refocusing, planning refocusing, positive reappraisal, catastrophizing, rumination, selfblame, and blaming others), and the DERS and its factors (non-acceptance, goal, impulse, awareness, strategy, and clarity). Moreover, the emotionfocused cognitive behavioral therapy (ECBT), originated from the Unified protocol, was considered as independent variable.

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 15.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

All participants gave their informed consent for participating in the study, and also the study was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of IUMS.

Results

Mean age of the patients was 40.3 ± 11.64 . 11 patients were women with a mean age of 40.3 years (range 26-56 year) and 3 were men with a mean age of 40 years (range 28-60 years). When they were included in the study, 11 were married, 6 graduated, and 10 homemakers, and 3 employed and 1 unemployed.

Mean scores and standard deviations of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale (emotional dysregulation) and its factors, and cognitive emotion regulation questionnaire (cognitive strategies), in pre-test and post-test phases, are presented in table 2. As can be seen, mean scores of emotional dysregulation and its factors, except awareness, were reduced in the post-test phase. In addition, post-test scores of the strategies of putting into perspective, blaming others, and catastrophizing changed more than the others.

Correlations between emotional dysregulation and cognitive strategies were computed. As can be viewed in table 3, only the strategies of blaming others and catastrophizing were positively correlated with emotional dysregulation and some of its factors.

DERS	Pre-test		Post-test		DERQ	Pre-test		Post-test	
	Μ	SD	Μ	SD		Μ	SD	М	SD
Total DERS	99	25.36	83.14	18.55	Acceptance	6.28	1.81	6.42	1.42
Non-accept	17.57	7.82	13.85	6.04	Positive refocusing	4.07	1.54	4.57	1.65
Goal	15.64	4.66	12.78	4.00	Planning refocusing	7.07	1.97	7.42	1.55
Impulse	14.85	6.15	12.71	3.56	Positive reappraisal	6.78	3.89	6.85	3.38
Awareness	15.14	3.89	15.71	3.38	Putting into perspective	5.42	1.60	6.57	2.10
Strategy	23.71	7.35	18.57	5.47	Self-blame	4.78	2.00	4.21	1.25
Clarity	12.07	4.58	9.50	3.08	Blaming others	5.35	2.37	4.00	1.66
					Rumination	7.92	1.68	7.14	1.35
					Catastrophizing	6.28	2.05	5.00	1.96

Table 2. Means (M) and Standard Deviations (SD) of Emotional Dysregulation and Cognitive Strategies

DERS										
T-DERS	Non-accept	Goal	Impulse	Awareness	Strategy	Clarity				
0.08	0.02	0.21	0.02	-0.23	0.15	0.15				
-0.32	-0.38	-0.28	-0.28	-0.42	-0.08	0.07				
0.04	0.09	0.02	0.03	-0.12	0.13	-0.12				
-0.18	-0.34	0.11	-0.06	-0.19	-0.02	-0.24				
-0.42	-0.44	-0.24	-0.48	-0.47	-0.06	-0.16				
0.11	-0.14	0.37	0.23	-0.11	0.03	0.24				
0.54^{*}	0.38	0.72^{**}	0.24	-0.13	0.48	0.57^{*}				
0.05	0.30	-0.05	-0.21	-0.26	0.32	-0.22				
0.70^{**}	0.67^{**}	0.45	0.54^{*}	-0.11	0.63*	0.68^{**}				
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \\ -0.32 \\ 0.04 \\ -0.18 \\ -0.42 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.54^* \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				

Table 3. Pearson Correlation between Emotional Dysregulation and Cognitive Strategies

** p < 0.01,* p < 0.05

The results of paired t-test on emotional dysregulation, which are illustrated in table 4, showed a significant difference between pre-test and post-test scores of emotional dysregulation and the factors of non-acceptance and strategy. On the other hand, emotional dysregulation was significantly reduced after the intervention. Furthermore, the results showed that although the factors of goal and clarity were significantly reduced, the reductions were not the consequence of intervention because of their non-significant correlation coefficients.

In paired student's t-test analysis about cognitive strategies, the results showed a significant difference between pre-test and post-test scores of cognitive strategies (presented in Table 5). On the other hand, only the catastrophizing strategy was significantly reduced. Of course, the putting into perspective strategy was also significantly reduced, but it was not the consequence of the intervention because of non-significant correlation coefficient.

Table 4. Paired Student's T-test Analysis of Pre-test and Post-test Scores of Emotional Dysregulation

			Paired differences						
DERS	Paired correlations		Mean	SD		95% CI of the difference		df	Sig.
	Correlation	Sig.		_	Lower	Upper			
Total DERS	0.707	0.005	1.58E1	17.95	26.224	5.489	3.304	14	0.006
Non-acceptance	0.602	0.023	3.41	6.39	0.024	7.403	2.175	14	0.049
Goal	0.518	0.058	2.85	4.29	0.377	5.336	2.490	14	0.027
Impulse	0.493	0.073	2.14	5.37	-0.961	5.246	1.491	14	0.160
Awareness	0.615	0.019	0.57	3.22	-2.435	1.292	-0.662	14	0.519
Strategy	0.771	0.001	5.14	4.68	2.436	7.849	4.105	14	0.001
Clarity	0.417	0.138	2.57	4.32	0.072	5.069	2.223	14	0.045

CI: Confidence Interval; sig: < 0.01, < 0.05

Table 5. Paired Student's T-test Analysis of Pre-test and Post-test Scores of Cognitive Strategies

			Paired	l differenc				
Paired correlations		Mean	SD	95% CI of the difference		t	df	Sig.
Correlation	Sig.			Lower	Upper			
0.177	0.544	-0.14	2.28	-1.460	1.174	-0.234	14	0.818
0.164	0.575	-0.50	2.06	-1.693	0.693	-0.905	14	0.382
0.064	0.827	-0.35	2.43	-1.764	1.050	-0.548	14	0.593
0.615	0.019	-0.57	3.22	-2.435	1.292	-0.662	14	0.519
0.470	0.090	-1.14	1.95	-2.271	-0.013	-2.187	14	0.048
0.418	0.137	0.57	1.86	-0.507	1.650	1.144	14	0.273
0.019	0.947	1.35	2.87	-0.300	3.015	1.768	14	0.100
0.377	0.185	0.78	1.71	-0.206	1.777	1.712	14	0.111
0.689	0.007	1.28	1.58	0.367	2.203	3.026	14	0.010
	Correlation 0.177 0.164 0.064 0.615 0.470 0.418 0.019 0.377	CorrelationSig.0.1770.5440.1640.5750.0640.8270.6150.0190.4700.0900.4180.1370.0190.9470.3770.185	Mean Correlation Sig. 0.177 0.544 -0.14 0.164 0.575 -0.50 0.064 0.827 -0.35 0.615 0.019 -0.57 0.470 0.090 -1.14 0.418 0.137 0.57 0.019 0.947 1.35 0.377 0.185 0.78	Mean SD Correlation Sig. 0.177 0.544 -0.14 2.28 0.164 0.575 -0.50 2.06 0.064 0.827 -0.35 2.43 0.615 0.019 -0.57 3.22 0.470 0.090 -1.14 1.95 0.418 0.137 0.57 1.86 0.019 0.947 1.35 2.87 0.377 0.185 0.78 1.71	Paired correlations Mean SD differ Correlation Sig. Lower 0.177 0.544 -0.14 2.28 -1.460 0.164 0.575 -0.50 2.06 -1.693 0.064 0.827 -0.35 2.43 -1.764 0.615 0.019 -0.57 3.22 -2.435 0.470 0.090 -1.14 1.95 -2.271 0.418 0.137 0.57 1.86 -0.507 0.019 0.947 1.35 2.87 -0.300 0.377 0.185 0.78 1.71 -0.206	Mean SD difference Correlation Sig. Lower Upper 0.177 0.544 -0.14 2.28 -1.460 1.174 0.164 0.575 -0.50 2.06 -1.693 0.693 0.064 0.827 -0.35 2.43 -1.764 1.050 0.615 0.019 -0.57 3.22 -2.435 1.292 0.470 0.090 -1.14 1.95 -2.271 -0.013 0.418 0.137 0.57 1.86 -0.507 1.650 0.019 0.947 1.35 2.87 -0.300 3.015 0.377 0.185 0.78 1.71 -0.206 1.777	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

CI: Confidence Interval; sig: < 0.01, < 0.05

Discussion

The findings of the present study indicated that emotion-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (ECBT) originated from the unified treatment approach (UP) was effective in patients with psychosomatic disorders. It could significantly reduce emotional dysregulation in the patients.

To our knowledge, there are not many studies about emotion regulation interventions, the Unified treatment particularly on approaches. Meanwhile, most of the UP studies examined have the effectiveness of transdiagnostic emotional treatment on disorders (depression, anxiety, and stress) (Abdi, 2012; Boisseau et al., 2010; Ellard et al., 2010; Farchione et al., 2012). Only the study by Mohammadi, Birashk, & Gharaie (2013) showed that the treatment model, in addition to decreasing emotional symptoms, is effective on the emotion regulation process. This is somehow consistent with the results of the present study.

In explaining the probable confirmation of the effect of the UP on emotional dysregulation, it can be elucidated that according to Barlow et al., 2009 (as cited in Farchione et al., 2012), the Unified treatment that holds emotional processes as the main objective of treatment, is applicable for anxiety and mood disorders and likely other disorders with strong emotional symptoms. On the other hand, the therapy components of the model (e.g., increasing emotional awareness, facilitating flexibility in appraisal, identification, and prevention of emotional and behavioral avoidance, and internal and situational encountering of emotional symptoms) are inconsistent with the factors involved in emotional dysregulation (Allen, McHugh, & Barlow, 2007). Thus, the UP can be effective in improvement of factors of emotional dysregulation, and accordingly, emotional dysregulation total.

Considering the research, the effectiveness of the treatment was mainly on the dimensions of non-acceptance of emotional responses and limited access to strategies. It has suggested that Mazaheri et al.

the skill of acceptance or tolerating negative emotions, regardless of whether or not it facilitates emotions alteration, may be helpful in mental health (Berking et al., 2012). Campbell-Sills, Barlow, Brown, and Hofmann (2006) mentioned that judging emotions as unacceptable and suppressing emotions may be important aspects of the phenomenology of emotional disorders. The other therapy models based on emotion regulation, such as dialectical behavior therapy, emotion-focused therapy, acceptance and commitment therapy, and mindfulness, have also emphasized the role of acceptance in emotion regulation (Greenberg, 2002; Linehan, 1993; Roemer et al., 2009; Wicksell, Olsson, Hayes, 2010).

understanding Besides and accepting emotional experience, emotion regulation refers to a person's ability to engage in appropriate strategies to manage uncomfortable emotions and to engage in suitable behaviors when distressed (Salters-Pedneault, 2013). To dispose of negative emotions, individuals with emotional problems may utilize maladaptive emotion regulation strategies, and by rejecting their emotional experiences and avoiding them, they lose the opportunity to learn adaptive ways (Campbell-Sills & Barlow, 2009). An individual, who consciously uses the adaptive emotion regulation strategies in the face of problematic emotions, is capable of managing emotions and involving in targeted behaviors (Tull & Gratz, 2008). It appears that the severity of the effect of negative emotions on goal-directed behaviors may depend on the treasure of individual strategies for regulating emotion and flexibility in the use of these strategies (Orgeta, 2011). Thus, according to the findings, it seems that the dimensions of non-acceptance and strategies likely play a more important role in emotional regulation or dysregulation.

Other results of the study about strategies showed that although emotion regulation intervention could increase mean score of adaptive strategies, especially putting into perspective, and reduce mean score of maladaptive strategies, especially blaming others and catastrophizing, this result was significant only for the strategy of catastrophizing. In this regard, the studies that have examined the cognitive emotion regulation strategies have mainly focused upon the between relationship the strategies and emotional problems (Aldao et al., 2010; Garnefski et al. 2001; Garnefski et al., 2004; Garnefski & Kraaij, 2006 a; Heiy, 2010; Salehi, Baghban, Bahrami, & Ahmadi, 2011). We could not find information about the effect of therapeutic interventions on cognitive strategies. Hence, it is not possible to compare the results of this study with others. In explaining this result we can say that:

One of the main components of UP is facilitating flexibility in appraisals, and patients with emotional disorders tend to evaluate and interpret external events with cognitive biases such as extreme estimation of the probability of negative events and catastrophizing. Consequently, therapy originated from the UP can correct previous wrong cognitive appraisals in psychosomatic patients. The aim of cognitive therapy is the objective evaluation of wrong and negative appraisals, as well as using more realistic appraisals based on outcome evidence of a situation (Allen et al., 2007). In fact, the objective of cognitive emotion regulation is to change one's attention to or one's appraisals of a situation in order to change an emotion's duration, intensity, or both (Ochsner & Gross, 2005). Individuals, who use adaptive cognitive strategies when experiencing stress, changing their appraisals, will be able to manage negative emotions intensity effectively (Troy & Mauss, 2011). According to the present study, it appears that rumination and catastrophizing are the most important of maladaptive strategies in psychosomatic patients, but catastrophizing has more correlation with emotional dysregulation.

In summary, this study confirms the efficacy of emotion-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (ECBT), originated from the Unified Protocol (UP), in emotional dysregulation treatment and maladaptive in reducing strategies of psychosomatic patients. From the point of view of studying the UP on emotional dysregulation and cognitive emotion regulation styles, the present study can be considered as a preliminary study. With regard to its promising results it can be performed in a larger scale in the future. In addition, the study, with extending the literature on interventions in psychosomatic patients, suggests that the main targets for interventions in those patients can be established based on the research on emotion regulation.

The limitations of this study, which may have considerable impact on the overall result of this study, are the small sample size, self-report questionnaires, not controlling other interfering factors in the treatment (e.g. drugs), having no follow-up phase in order to assess the effectiveness of the intervention in the long-term due to the absorption of some patients in other psychological therapies at the Subspecialty Center of Psychiatry, and having no comparison group for the same reason. It must be remembered that the studied group consisted of mainly digestive patients. Moreover, there was no similar study to compare the results. Considering the limited sample size, replication of this study with a larger sample size and comparison with a control group seems to be necessary.

Conflict of Interests

Authors have no conflict of interests.

References

Abdi, R. (2012). The evaluation of efficacy of transdiagnostic treatment in women with generalized anxiety disorder [PhD Thesis]. Tabriz, Iran: University of Tabriz.

Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-regulation strategies across psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clin Psychol Rev, 30*(2), 217-237. doi:S0272-7358(09)00159-7 [pii];10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.004 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:20015584

Allen, L. B., Tsao, J. C. I., Seidman, L. C., Ehrenreich-May, J., & Zeltzer, L. K. (2012). A unified, transdiagnostic treatment for adolescents with chronic pain and comorbid anxiety and depression. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 19(1), 56-67.

Allen, L.B., McHugh, R. K., & Barlow, D. H. (2007). Emotional disorders. In Barlow DH (Ed.), *Clinical handbook of psychological disorders* (4th ed.,). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.

Berking, M., Poppe, C., Luhmann, M., Wupperman, P., Jaggi, V., & Seifritz, E. (2012). Is the association between various emotion-regulation skills and mental health mediated by the ability to modify emotions? Results from two cross-sectional studies. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry*, *43*(3), 931-937. doi:S0005-7916(11)00096-6 [pii];10.1016/j.jbtep.2011.09.009 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:22406495

Boisseau, C. L., Farchione, T. J., Fairholme, C. P., Ellard, K. K., & Barlow, D. H. (2010). The development of the unified protocol for the transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders: a case study. *Cogn Behav Pract.*, *17*(1), 102-113. doi:10.1016/j.cbpra.2009.09.003 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:23997572

Campbell-Sills L, & Barlow DH. (2009). Incorporating emotion regulation into conceptualizations and treatments of anxiety and mood disorders. In Gross JJ (Ed.), *Handbook of emotion regulation* (1st ed., pp. 542-559). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.

Campbell-Sills, L., Barlow, D. H., Brown, T. A., & Hofmann, S. G. (2006). Acceptability and suppression of negative emotion in anxiety and mood disorders. *Emotion.*, *6*(4), 587-595. doi:2006-21788-005 [pii];10.1037/1528-3542.6.4.587 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:17144750

Ehrenreich, J. T., Goldstein, C. M., Wright, L. R., & Barlow, D. H. (2009). Development of a Unified Protocol for the Treatment of Emotional Disorders in Youth. *Child Fam Behav Ther, 31*(1), 20-37. doi:10.1080/07317100802701228 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:19617930

Ellard, K. K., Fairholme, C. P., Boisseau, C. L., Farchione, T. J., & Barlow, D. H. (2010). Unified Protocol for the Transdiagnostic Treatment of Emotional Disorders: Protocol Development and Initial Outcome Data. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, *17*(1), 88-101.

Erismana, S.M., Salters-Pedneaultb, K.,& Roemer, L. *Emotion regulation and mindfulness*. Available from: http://www.medvetenpsykologi.se/mediafiler/Emotion-regulation and mindfulness. pdf. Accessed 2013.

Esmaeili, L., Aghaei, A., Abedi, M. R., Esmaeili, M., & Aghaei, M. (2012). The efficacy of emotional regulation on the aggression of epileptic girls (14-18) in Isfahan. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *46*, 2183-2187.

Farchione, T. J., Fairholme, C. P., Ellard, K. K., Boisseau, C. L., Thompson-Hollands, J., Carl, J. R. et al.

(2012). Unified protocol for transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders: a randomized controlled trial. *Behav Ther*, *43*(3), 666-678. doi:S0005-7894(12)00002-0 [pii];10.1016/j.beth.2012.01.001 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:22697453

Garnefski, N., Kraaij, V., & Spinhoven, P. (2001). Negative life events, cognitive emotion regulation, and emotional problems. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 30(8), 1311–1327. doi:10.1016/S0191-8869(00)00113-6.

Garnefski, N., Kraaij, V., & Spinhoven, P. (2002). Manual for the use of the Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire: A questionnaire measuring cognitive coping strategies. Leiderdorp, The Netherlands: DATEC.

Garnefski, N., Rieffe, C., Jellesma, F., Terwogt, M. M., & Kraaij, V. (2007). Cognitive emotion regulation strategies and emotional problems in 9 - 11-year-old children: the development of an instrument. *Eur Child Adolesc.Psychiatry*, *16*(1), 1-9. doi:10.1007/s00787-006-0562-3 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:16791542

Garnefski, N., & Kraaij, V. (2006a). Relationships between cognitive emotion regulation strategies and depressive symptoms: A comparative study of five specific samples. *Personality and Individual Differences, 40*(8), 1659-1669.

Garnefski, N., Teerds, J., Kraaij, V., Legerstee, J., & van den Kommer, T. (2004). Cognitive emotion regulation strategies and depressive symptoms: differences between males and females. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *36*(2), 267-276.

Greenberg, L.S. (2002). *Emotion-focused therapy: coaching clients to work through their feelings.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Garnefski, N.,& Kraaij, V. (2006b). Cognitive emotion regulation questionnaire–development of a short 18-item version (CERQ-short). *Personality and Individual Differences*, 41, 1045-1053. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2006.04.010.

Gratz, K., & Roemer, L. (2004). Multidimensional assessment of emotion regulation and dysregulation: development, factor structure, and initial validation of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 26(1), 41-54.

Grat, K., & Tull, M.T. (2013). Emotion regulation as a mechanism of change in acceptance-and mindfulnessbased treatments. In Baer, R.A, (Ed.), *Assessing mindfulness and acceptance: Illuminating the processes of change*. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger Publications. [In press].

Gross, J.J. (2008). Emotion regulation. In Lewis, M., Haviland-Jones, J.M & Feldman Barrett, L (Ed.). *Handbook of emotion*, (4th ed. pp.497-512). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.

Gross, J.J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of General*

Psychology, 2(3), 271-299. doi:10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.271.

Hassani, J., Azadfallah, P., & Rasoulzade-Tabatabaei, S.K. (2009). Impact of reappraisal and suppression of emotional experiences on frontal EEG asymmetries based on Neuroticism and Extraversion dimensions. *The Journal of Psychology of Tabriz University*, 4(13), 71-37.

Hassani, J. (2011). The evaluation of validity and reliability cognitive emotion regulation questionnaire short form. *Journal of behavioral Sciences*, 9(4), 229-40.

Heiy, J.E. (2010). *Emotion regulation flexibility: an exploration of the effect of flexibility in emotion regulation on mood* [MSc Thesis]. Columbus, OH: Graduate School of the Ohio State University.

Khanzadeh, M., Saidiyan, M., Hosseinchary, M., & Edrissi, F. (2012). Factor structure and psychometric properties of difficulties of emotional regulation scale. *Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 6(1), 96-87.

Linehan, M.M. (1993). *Cognitive–behavioral treatment* of borderline personality disorder. New York: The Guilford Press.

Mauss, I. B., Levenson, R. W., McCarter, L., Wilhelm, F. H., & Gross, J. J. (2005). The tie that binds? Coherence among emotion experience, behavior, and physiology. *Emotion.*, *5*(2), 175-190. doi:2005-06671-005 [pii];10.1037/1528-3542.5.2.175 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:15982083

Mohammadi, A., Birashk, B., & Gharaie, B. (2013). Comparison of the effect of group transdiagnostic therapy and group cognitive therapy on anxiety and depressive symptoms. *Iran J Public Health*, 42(1), 48-55. Retrieved from PM:23514901

Orgeta, V. (2011). Emotion dysregulation and anxiety in late adulthood. *J Anxiety Disord*, 25(8), 1019-1023. doi:S0887-6185(11)00116-2

[pii];10.1016/j.janxdis.2011.06.010 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:21813262

Ochsner, K. N., & Gross, J. J. (2005). The cognitive control of emotion. *Trends Cogn Sci*, 9(5), 242-249. doi:S1364-6613(05)00090-2

[pii];10.1016/j.tics.2005.03.010 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:15866151

Parkinson, B., & Totterdell, P. (1999). Classifying affect-regulationstrategies. *Cognition and Emotion*, 13 (3), 277–303. doi: 10.1080/026999399379285.

Payne, F.E. (2013). A definition of emotions. *JBEM Index*, 3(4). Available from:

http://www.bmei.org/jbem/volume3/num4/payne_a_definit ion_of_emotions.php.

Rezwan, S., Bahrami, F., & Abedi, M. (2006). Impact of emotion regulation on students' happiness and thought rumination. *Iran J Psychiatry Clin Psychol*, 12(3), 257-251.Persian. Roemer, L., Lee, J. K., Salters-Pedneault, K., Erisman, S. M., Orsillo, S. M., & Mennin, D. S. (2009). Mindfulness and emotion regulation difficulties in generalized anxiety disorder: preliminary evidence for independent and overlapping contributions. *Behav Ther*, 40(2), 142-154. doi:S0005-7894(08)00065-8 [pii];10.1016/j.beth.2008.04.001 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:19433145

Salehi, A., Baghban,A.,Bahrami, F.,&Ahmadi, A. (2011). The relationship between cognitive emotion regulations strategies and emotional problems according to individual and family factors. *Journal of Counseling and Family Therapy*, 1(1), 1-18. [In Persian].

Salters-Pedneault, K. *Emotion Regulation*. Available from: http://ptsd.about.com/ od/glossary/g/emotionreg.htm. Accessed 2013.

Thompson RA. (1994). Emotion regulation: A theme in search of definition. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 59 (2-3), 25–52.

Troy, A.S., & Mauss, I.B. (2011). Resilience in the face of stress: emotion regulation as a protective factor. In Southwick, S.M., Litz, B.T., Charney, D., & Friedman, M.J. *Resilience and mental health: Challenges across the lifespan* (*pp*.30-44). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Tull, M. T., & Gratz, K. L. (2008). Further examination of the relationship between anxiety sensitivity and depression: the mediating role of experiential avoidance and difficulties engaging in goal-directed behavior when distressed. *J Anxiety Disord*, 22(2), 199-210. doi:S0887-6185(07)00079-5 [pii];10.1016/j.janxdis.2007.03.005 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:17419002

Taylor, G. J. (1984). Alexithymia: concept, measurement, and implications for treatment. *Am J Psychiatry*, 141(6), 725-732. Retrieved from PM:6375397

Taylor, G.J. (1987). *Psychosomatic medicine and contemporary psychoanalysis*. Madison, CT: International Universities Press.

Wicksell, R. K., Olsson, G. L., & Hayes, S. C. (2010). Psychological flexibility as a mediator of improvement in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for patients with chronic pain following whiplash. *Eur J Pain*, *14*(10), 1059. doi:S1090-3801(10)00102-3

[pii];10.1016/j.ejpain.2010.05.001 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:20538493

Wilamowska, Z. Thompson-Hollands, A., J., Fairholme, C. P., Ellard, K. K., Farchione, T. J., & Barlow, D. H. (2010). Conceptual background, development, and preliminary data from the unified protocol for treatment transdiagnostic of emotional disorders. Depress.Anxiety, 27(10), 882-890. doi:10.1002/da.20735 [doi]. Retrieved from PM:20886609