

Article type:
Theoretical

1 The Iranian Academy of Medical Sciences, Tehran,
Iran. Behi Academy, Vancouver, Canada.
2 Department of Behavioral and Cognitive Sciences in
Sport, Faculty of Sport Sciences and Health, University
of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author email address: farzad.goli@ijbmc.org



Article history:

Received 21 May 2025
Revised 14 June 2025
Accepted 24 June 2025
Published online 01 Aug 2025

How to cite this article:

Goli, F. (2025). Biosemiotics Approach to Biomechanics:
Integrating Symbolic and Sensory-Motor Systems for
Enhanced Health and Performance. *International
Journal of Body, Mind and Culture*, 12(5), 4-15.



© 2025 the authors. This is an open-access article
under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0)
License.

Introduction

Harmonizing reason and life has long been the mission of thinkers across various schools of medicine, philosophy, and religion. The pursuit of peak performance and optimal health requires a sophisticated understanding of the dynamic interplay between cognitive processes and motor actions. Traditionally viewed as separate domains, these areas are increasingly recognized as a continuum through which the mind and

Biosemiotics Approach to Biomechanics: Integrating Symbolic and Sensory-Motor Systems for Enhanced Health and Performance

Farzad. Goli¹ , Morteza. Taheri²

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a biosemiotic framework for understanding human performance as an integrated mind-body system, where optimal functioning emerges from the dynamic synchronization of material (physical), energetic (physiological), symbolic (cognitive), and reflective (self-monitoring) sign systems. Drawing on interdisciplinary evidence, we demonstrate how this perspective bridges the artificial divide between biological mechanisms and cognitive experience, revealing that peak performance occurs when these systems achieve semiotic coherence. Key findings highlight the efficacy of interventions combining mental imagery with physical practice, the physiological mechanisms underlying mind-body practices like yoga and tai chi, and the importance of semiotic alignment in ergonomic design. The paper outlines critical directions for future research, including the development of biomarkers for biosemiotic alignment, controlled trials of integrated training protocols, longitudinal studies of epigenetic adaptations, and technological innovations in biofeedback and movement analysis. These investigations will require novel interdisciplinary collaborations to advance both theoretical models and practical applications in sports science, rehabilitation, and occupational performance. By framing human performance as an embodied meaning-making process rather than isolated systems, the biosemiotic approach offers transformative potential for enhancing health, resilience, and achievement across multiple domains.

Keywords: Biosemiotics Approach, Biomechanics, Sensory-Motor Systems, Health, Performance.

body function in concert. Indeed, gestures, postures, and movements not only convey significant meanings to both the individual and observers but also influence mental states. Research demonstrates that body language effectively communicates emotional states and intentions, profoundly impacting psychological conditions. For instance, [Niedenthal et al. \(2005\)](#) showed that embodied states shape social perception and emotional experience, while [Lakoff & Johnson \(1999\)](#) argue that cognition itself is grounded in sensory-motor

systems. Additionally, [Montoya et al. \(2011\)](#) emphasize how posture and movement influence pain perception through attentional mechanisms, reinforcing the bidirectional connection between body and mind. Furthermore, the alignment and synchronization of movements with mental states can optimize health and enhance physical training and performance. Studies such as [Wiltermuth & Heath \(2009\)](#) emphasize how synchronized movement fosters cooperation and psychological alignment, suggesting broader implications for performance. [\(Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011\)](#). Also, discuss the role of embodied simulation in understanding actions, which may enhance motor learning and skill acquisition. These findings underscore the critical impact of physical expressions and their synchronization with mental states in improving health, performance, and overall well-being.

The effectiveness of mind-body coordination methods, such as yoga, tai chi, mindfulness, hypnosis, and biofeedback, has been validated through numerous studies and systematic reviews. [\(Cramer et al., 2013\)](#) Conducted a meta-analysis on yoga, highlighting its significant positive effects on stress reduction and improved mental health. [\(Wayne & Kaptchuk, 2008\)](#) reviewed the health benefits of tai chi, noting improvements in balance, flexibility, and psychological well-being. Similarly, mindfulness practices have been shown to enhance psychological health by reducing symptoms of anxiety and depression [\(Goyal et al., 2014\)](#). Hypnosis has proven effective for pain management and stress reduction [\(Montgomery et al., 2007\)](#), while biofeedback has demonstrated benefits in improving conditions such as hypertension and migraines by enhancing self-regulation skills [\(Yucha & Montgomery, 2008\)](#). While mind-body control is well established, with numerous techniques and disciplines focusing on coordinating physical and mental functions, there remains a lack of a unified language to integrate mechanical and symbolic signs consistently. A metalanguage is needed for more systematic and controlled programming of human mental and physical performance. Such a framework would facilitate tracking energy-information flows across both biomechanical and semantic domains, enabling comprehensive analysis and understanding [\(Kelso, 1995; Newell & Ranganathan, 2010\)](#).

The biosemiotic approach to biomechanics emerges as an integrative paradigm, positing that optimal performance is realized when symbolic (cognitive) systems are harmoniously aligned with sensory-motor processes. This manuscript synthesizes cutting-edge evidence from biosemiotics [\(Barbieri, 2008; Goli, 2016, 2022, 2024\)](#), neuroscience, psychology, and biomedical research to illuminate this integrative potential. To articulate the biosemiotic approach to biomechanics, the paper is structured as follows: First, we define foundational concepts and the theoretical framework of biosemiotics as applied to health and performance. Next, we explore the mechanisms through which symbolic and sensory-motor systems integrate to support optimal functioning. We then examine the role of anticipatory processes and epigenetic modulation. Following this, practical applications are discussed in domains such as sport psychology, rehabilitation, and ergonomic design. The paper concludes with implications for future research and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Key Concepts and Theoretical Foundations

Biosemiotics refers to the study of sign processes within and among living systems, emphasizing the role of meaning-making in biological organization, adaptation, and performance. Within this paradigm, human functioning is viewed not merely as the result of mechanical interactions but as the outcome of dynamic sign-mediated processes that span cognitive, physiological, and behavioral domains [\(Goli, 2025\)](#). A central notion in this context is that of meaning-making systems, which encompass the various mechanisms by which humans interpret, assign, and enact significance through integrated channels, ranging from bodily movements and physiological states to cognitive representations such as mental imagery and language [\(Yu, 2025; Zhao, 2025\)](#). These systems operate simultaneously across different semiotic levels and enable individuals to respond adaptively to internal and external environments [\(Liu & Wang, 2025\)](#).

Semiotic fluency refers to the capacity to navigate and coordinate these semiotic layers fluidly. An individual with high semiotic fluency can align cognitive representations (e.g., motivational self-talk), symbolic imagery (e.g., visualized trajectories), and physical actions (e.g., postural adjustments) to achieve more

efficient and adaptive performance. This ability underlies the successful integration of mind-body interventions and supports resilience under varying physiological and psychological demands (Pei & Wang, 2025). The concept of biosemiotic alignment further elaborates on this integration by describing a state in which symbolic, energetic, material, and reflective systems operate in harmony. When such alignment is achieved—often observed in states of optimal performance or flow—there is a measurable synchronization of neural, muscular, and cognitive activity that reflects a shared semiotic structure across levels of function (Li et al., 2025; Stano, 2021). Another critical component of the biosemiotic framework is the role of image schemata—recurring sensorimotor patterns derived from embodied experience that structure cognitive processes. Schemata such as balance, containment, and force not only inform physical coordination but also shape metaphorical thinking and emotional regulation. These embodied structures serve as the foundational templates by which individuals make sense of their physical and psychological experiences (Schiller, 2022; Stano, 2021).

In applied contexts, symbolic-physical mapping denotes the process whereby symbolic constructs—such as metaphors, narratives, or verbal cues—are translated into concrete motor actions. This mapping enhances performance by enabling the symbolic domain to guide bodily execution through pre-established sensorimotor schemata, as seen in elite sport, rehabilitation, and somatic therapies (Akram & Irvine, 2020; Rodgers et al., 2018). Finally, the anticipatory biosemiotic system describes the capacity of the human organism to modulate present physiological states in response to symbolically mediated expectations of future outcomes. This anticipatory mechanism operates through biosemiotic pathways, including epigenetic modulation. It allows for proactive adaptation to imagined scenarios or intentions (Goli, 2025), thus reinforcing the body's role as an interpreter of signs with predictive and regulatory capabilities.

Mechanisms of Biosemiotic Integration

The integration of symbolic systems with sensory-motor processes lies at the heart of the biosemiotic approach to biomechanics. This integration reveals how

cognitive representations—such as mental imagery, language, and metaphor—are not merely reflective of bodily activity but are actively involved in shaping and directing physiological and motor responses. The body, in this framework, is not a passive recipient of cognitive commands but a semiosphere: a meaning-generating system where physical movement, posture, and energetic states co-evolve with symbolic interpretation.

Mental imagery provides a foundational example of this interaction. Neuroimaging studies have demonstrated that imagining a movement activates many of the same cortical and subcortical structures engaged during actual physical execution, including the motor cortex, basal ganglia, and cerebellum (Decety & Grèzes, 2006; Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011). This mirroring effect primes neuromuscular pathways for action, reducing motor latency and enhancing execution precision. Athletes, dancers, and performers have long utilized this phenomenon to improve performance without the need for repetitive physical exertion, illustrating how internal symbolic simulations translate into embodied readiness (Abedini, 2016; Blouin-Hudon et al., 2017).

Language and metaphor further modulate sensory-motor outcomes by embedding cognitive instructions within bodily schemata (Mzoughi et al., 2023; Niknasab et al., 2021). For example, metaphorical instructions such as “move like water” or “explode off the ground” are not merely poetic; they activate image schemata—cognitive structures rooted in bodily experience—such as flow, force, and containment (Goli, 2016; Lakoff & Johnson, 1999). These schemata help the performer conceptualize and execute actions with greater efficiency and intentionality, serving as bridges between abstract intention and concrete motor expression.

The use of posture and gesture also illustrates biosemiotic integration. Embodied cognition research has shown that bodily configurations not only reflect emotional and mental states but also actively shape them. Expansive postures can enhance feelings of confidence, reduce cortisol levels, and increase testosterone, creating physiological shifts that feed back into cognitive-emotional processing (Niedenthal et al., 2005). These feedback loops suggest that posture is both a sign and a signifier—at once expressing internal states and capable of modifying them.

Moreover, symbolic systems extend their influence into attentional and perceptual domains. Focused self-talk, for instance, has been shown to recalibrate proprioceptive awareness and movement efficiency (Ji & Wang, 2025; Pei & Wang, 2025). When verbal cues are temporally synchronized with rhythmic actions (such as matching stride cadence with internal commands in running), they reinforce movement timing and reduce energy expenditure (Brown & Fletcher, 2017). In this way, language operates not only as a reflective tool but as a real-time modulator of biomechanical function.

Biofeedback mechanisms exemplify the closed-loop structure of symbolic-sensory integration. By translating physiological signals—such as heart rate variability or muscle tension—into visual or auditory representations, biofeedback allows individuals to consciously modulate otherwise unconscious processes (Yucha & Montgomery, 2008). These representations, once internalized symbolically, become new forms of self-regulation, effectively transforming bodily information into actionable meaning (Ma, 2024).

In sum, the mechanisms of biosemiotic integration demonstrate that optimal performance and health are not achieved through isolated physical training or abstract cognition alone, but through the continuous synchronization of symbolic and sensory-motor systems. This synchronization—whether via internal imagery, metaphorical language, or sensorimotor feedback—enables a dynamic and adaptive interface between mind and body. It is within this integrated semiosphere that human functioning reaches its highest potential.

Biosemiotics as a Meta-Language

Biosemiotics offers a transformative framework for understanding the interplay between biological processes and systems of meaning, positioning itself as a meta-language that bridges cognitive and sensory-motor domains. At its core, biosemiotics examines how biological entities—from cells to organisms—generate, interpret, and respond to signs, creating a dynamic network of communication that influences health and performance (Barbieri, 2008). This perspective redefines the body as a semiosphere, where physiological processes are imbued with symbolic significance, and where cognitive and motor systems interact through shared signs and signals (Goli, 2016). By adopting this

meta-language, researchers and practitioners can systematically analyze how symbolic representations, such as mental imagery or self-talk, recalibrate physiological states, thereby optimizing performance and well-being.

A key insight from biosemiotics is the role of cognitive symbols in modulating sensory-motor processes. For instance, athletes who employ mental imagery or mindfulness techniques activate neural pathways that mirror those engaged during physical movement, effectively priming the body for action while reducing unnecessary physiological loads (Decety & Grèzes, 2006; Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011). This alignment of symbolic and motor systems enhances performance by fostering a state of coherence between mind and body. Such synchronization is exemplified in the flow state, where cognitive focus and physical action become seamlessly integrated, leading to peak performance (Ulrich et al., 2016). Biosemiotics thus provides the conceptual tools to decode these interactions, framing them as part of a larger, meaning-driven biological system.

The utility of biosemiotics as a meta-language extends beyond individual performance to encompass broader health behaviors and psychoneuroimmunological responses. Research demonstrates that symbolic interventions, such as affirmations or narrative reframing, can influence stress regulation and immune function by aligning cognitive and physiological processes (Kemeny, 2007; McEwen, 2007). For example, biofeedback techniques leverage the body's biosemiotic capacity for self-regulation, enhancing systemic resilience (Montgomery et al., 2007; Montoya et al., 2011). These findings underscore the potential of biosemiotics to unify disparate disciplines, offering a common language for studying mind-body interactions.

Moreover, biosemiotics aligns with Lakoff and Johnson's (1980, 1999) theory of image schemata, which posits that abstract cognitive structures are grounded in bodily experience. Schemata such as balance, force, and containment serve as foundational frameworks for interpreting sensory-motor inputs and translating them into meaningful actions (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980, 1999). By integrating these schemata into the biosemiotic meta-language, researchers can better understand how symbolic meanings—embedded in postures, gestures, or metaphors—shape physical performance and emotional states. For instance, Niedenthal et al. (2005) demonstrate

how embodied states (e.g., posture) influence affective and cognitive processes, illustrating the bidirectional influence of symbolic and motor systems.

Epigenetic research further supports the biosemiotic paradigm by revealing how anticipatory behaviors, mediated by symbolic processing, can induce physiological adaptations (Goli, 2022; Zhang & Meaney, 2013). Mental constructs, such as goal-setting or future-oriented visualization, trigger epigenetic modifications that enhance readiness and performance. This anticipatory capacity highlights the body's responsiveness to symbolic cues, reinforcing the need for a meta-language that can track these energy-information flows across cognitive and biomechanical domains (Kelso, 1995; Newell & Ranganathan, 2010).

Image Schemata and Sensory-Motor Schemas

Building on the biosemiotic meta-language, the concept of image schemata—introduced by Lakoff and Johnson (1980, 1999)—provides a critical link between abstract cognitive processes and embodied sensory-motor experiences. Image schemata are recurrent, dynamic patterns that emerge from bodily interactions with the physical world, such as balance, containment, or force (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980, 1999). These schemata serve as foundational templates for organizing meaning, enabling individuals to interpret and navigate their environment through metaphor and analogy. For example, the schema of balance not only governs physical stability but also structures abstract reasoning about fairness (e.g., "a balanced argument") or emotional equilibrium (e.g., "feeling unsteady"). By grounding cognition in bodily experience, image schemata exemplify the biosemiotic principle that symbolic meaning is inextricably tied to sensory-motor systems (Goli, 2016).

The integration of image schemata into biomechanics reveals how symbolic and physical systems co-evolve to optimize performance. Athletes, for instance, leverage schemata like path (e.g., visualizing a sprint trajectory) or momentum (e.g., "building momentum" in a game) to align mental imagery with kinetic actions. Research demonstrates that such schema-driven imagery activates mirror neurons, priming the motor cortex and enhancing execution precision (Decety & Grèzes, 2006; Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011). Similarly, postural

adjustments—such as adopting an expansive stance—can activate the schema of expansion, inducing psychological confidence and physiological changes, as Niedenthal et al. (2005) showed in their work on embodied cognition. These findings underscore how sensory-motor schemas function as biosemiotic signs, translating symbolic cues into biomechanical outcomes.

Sensory-motor schemas further facilitate anticipatory adaptation, a core tenet of biosemiotics. For example, the schema of containment (e.g., "holding back" or "releasing energy") allows dancers to synchronize breath with movement, while martial artists use force schemata to anticipate opponents' actions (Goli, 2022). Epigenetic studies corroborate that such schema-based anticipations can trigger gene expression changes, optimizing stress responses and muscle memory (Zhang & Meaney, 2013). This anticipatory capacity aligns with Montoya et al. (2011)'s findings on sensory-motor coordination in pain modulation, illustrating how subsymbolic and symbolic processes interact dynamically to guide behavior (Montoya et al., 2011). In rehabilitation, stroke patients relearn movements by reactivating schemata through metaphor (e.g., "pouring water" to restore arm motion), demonstrating the therapeutic potential of schema integration (Niedenthal et al., 2005).

The biosemiotic approach also illuminates how group synchrony—seen in team sports or collective rituals—emerges from shared sensory-motor schemas. (Wiltermuth & Heath, 2009) found that synchronized movements (e.g., marching or chanting) enhance cooperation by activating schemata of unity and rhythm, which reinforce social cohesion. Neurophysiological studies reveal that such synchrony increases neural coherence among participants, mirroring the mind-body alignment observed in individual flow states (Singer, 2013; Ulrich et al., 2016). These collective phenomena highlight the scalability of image schemata from individual cognition to social dynamics, further validating their role as biosemiotic mediators.

Epigenetics and Anticipatory Change

The emerging field of epigenetics provides a compelling biological framework for understanding how anticipatory cognitive processes—mediated through

biosemiotic systems—can induce measurable physiological changes. Epigenetic mechanisms, which regulate gene expression without altering DNA sequences, serve as the molecular interface between environmental signals (including psychological and symbolic inputs) and phenotypic adaptation (Zhang & Meaney, 2013). Within the biosemiotic paradigm, these mechanisms exemplify how the body "reads" and "responds" to anticipatory signs, translating symbolic meaning into biological reality. For instance, an athlete's mental rehearsal of a movement not only primes neural pathways but may also trigger epigenetic modifications in muscle tissue, enhancing strength and coordination before physical execution (Goli, 2022). This anticipatory capacity underscores the body's role as an active interpreter of signs, where future-oriented cognition shapes present-moment biology.

Research in psychoneuroimmunology reveals concrete pathways for this mind-body dialogue. Stress-related epigenetic markers (e.g., DNA methylation in glucocorticoid receptor genes) are dynamically influenced by cognitive appraisals, demonstrating how symbolic processing (e.g., interpreting an event as a "challenge" vs. a "threat") can recalibrate physiological stress responses (Kemeny, 2007; McEwen, 2007). Similarly, mindfulness practices, which cultivate anticipatory awareness of bodily states, correlate with reduced inflammatory gene expression (Goyal et al., 2014). These findings align with biosemiotics' assertion that symbolic systems (e.g., language, imagery) function as modifiable signals that epigenetically "tune" the body's readiness states. For example, cancer patients using guided imagery to anticipate treatment outcomes show enhanced immune parameters, suggesting that symbolic interventions can "pre-program" biological resilience (Montgomery et al., 2007).

The anticipatory effects of biosemiotic processes extend to collective behaviors. Group rituals—from team huddles to religious ceremonies—leverage shared symbols (e.g., chants, gestures) to synchronize not only behavior but also physiological states among participants. Research shows that communal activities can induce group-wide gene expression changes, priming groups for coordinated action (Wiltermuth & Heath, 2009). In humans, synchronized rituals reduce stress markers and upregulate oxytocin-related pathways, illustrating how culturally embedded symbols

acquire biological potency (Goli, 2024). This collective dimension reveals epigenetics as a biosemiotic nexus where meaning-making transcends individual boundaries to shape communal biology.

Molecular Mechanisms of Epigenetic Signaling

Three primary epigenetic mechanisms demonstrate how meaning becomes biology:

DNA Methylation: The addition of methyl groups to DNA typically represses gene expression. Chronic stress, for instance, can increase methylation of glucocorticoid receptor genes, reducing stress resilience (Zhang & Meaney, 2013).

- **Histone Modification:** Changes to proteins around which DNA wraps, altering gene accessibility. Mindfulness practices appear to promote acetylation of histones near neuroplasticity-related genes (Goyal et al., 2014).
- **Non-coding RNA:** Regulatory RNA molecules that can silence or activate genes. Biofeedback training may influence microRNA profiles related to inflammation (Yucha & Montgomery, 2008).
- These mechanisms constitute a molecular "language" that translates sensory and symbolic inputs into biological adjustments, effectively allowing our cells to "read" and "respond" to environmental meaning.

The Anticipatory Biosemiotic System

The human capacity for anticipation operates through interconnected biosemiotic-epigenetic pathways:

- **Cognitive Anticipation:** Mental imagery creates neural patterns that epigenetically prepare the body for future actions. Athletes using imagery training show enhanced motor performance (Decety & Grèzes, 2006).
- **Emotional Anticipation:** Expected emotional states preconfigure physiological responses. Placebo effects demonstrate how expectations modulate pain perception (Montgomery et al., 2007).
- **Behavioral Anticipation:** Preparatory postures trigger physiological changes, as seen in

embodied cognition research (Niedenthal et al., 2005).

- Social-Cultural Anticipation: Shared rituals create collective biological states through epigenetic synchronization (Wiltermuth & Heath, 2009).

Implications in Health Behavior and Psychoneuroimmunology

The biosemiotic approach to biomechanics revolutionizes our understanding of health behavior by revealing how symbolic and sensory-motor systems collaboratively regulate psychoneuroimmunological pathways. When cognitive processes (such as health beliefs, intentions, and self-talk) are harmoniously aligned with bodily states (through posture, movement, and breath), they create a synergistic effect that enhances immune function and stress resilience. For instance, research demonstrates that positive health affirmations paired with mindful practices can reduce pro-inflammatory cytokine production while increasing immune cell activity, creating a mind-body "feedback loop" that reinforces healthy behaviors (Kemeny, 2007; McEwen, 2007). This alignment is particularly evident in mindfulness-based interventions, where the intentional synchronization of breath awareness (sensory-motor) with present-moment focus (symbolic) has been shown to downregulate inflammatory pathways (Goyal et al., 2014). The biosemiotic perspective thus provides a framework for designing more effective health interventions by optimizing how individuals process and embody health-related information.

The psychoneuroimmunological implications of this integration extend to chronic disease management. Studies of placebo effects reveal how symbolic interventions—such as the meaningful ritual of treatment administration—can modulate immune responses through neurobiological pathways (Goli, 2024; Montgomery et al., 2007). Similarly, in pain management, combining movement therapies with cognitive reappraisal techniques has been shown to reduce subjective pain perception while decreasing central sensitization (Montoya et al., 2011). This biosemiotic synergy also explains the enhanced effectiveness of mind-body practices like yoga and tai chi, where meditative focus interacts with precise movements to regulate stress physiology (Cramer et al.,

2013; Wayne & Kaptchuk, 2008). Future interventions could leverage these insights by systematically pairing cognitive strategies with movement patterns—for example, combining gratitude practices with heart-opening postures to enhance parasympathetic activity.

Synchronization Across Levels

The biosemiotic approach reveals a continuum of synchronization, where the alignment of symbolic and sensory-motor systems operates across biological and social scales. At the neurological level, this manifests as coherent oscillatory patterns between prefrontal cortical regions (symbolic processing) and motor/sensory cortices, optimizing information flow (Singer, 2013). Neuroimaging studies demonstrate that elite athletes in flow states exhibit strong gamma-wave coherence between these areas, enabling rapid translation of strategy into action (Ulrich et al., 2016). This neural synchrony extends to endocrine and immune systems through neuropeptide signaling, where mentally simulated actions can trigger physiological adaptations (McGee & Walder, 2017).

On the social level, biosemiotic synchronization creates "collective physiology"—the alignment of biological rhythms among individuals sharing meaningful experiences. Research shows that group rituals involving synchronized movement (e.g., team sports) or vocalization lead to harmonization of physiological markers among participants (Wiltermuth & Heath, 2009). These effects are mediated by shared symbolic cues (words, gestures) that coordinate both behavior and biology. Remarkably, such group synchrony can enhance collective resilience, as seen in performance troupes (Goli, 2024). Practical applications include:

- Designing workplaces to facilitate movement synchrony
- Structuring classrooms to promote teacher-student neural alignment
- Optimizing hospital routines around patient-staff bio-rhythms

These multi-level phenomena confirm that meaning-making systems operate as nested networks where coherence at one level amplifies functioning at others—a core tenet of biosemiotics.

Mind-Body Ergonomics and Sport Psychology Guidelines

Theoretical Foundations and Mechanisms

The biosemiotic approach to mind-body ergonomics represents a paradigm shift in sport psychology by conceptualizing human performance as an emergent property of dynamically interacting sign systems. This framework identifies four interconnected domains that collectively optimize performance: material signs (muscle contractions, joint angles), energetic signs (metabolic and bioelectrical flows), symbolic signs (self-talk, imagery), and reflective signs (performance evaluations, proprioceptive feedback). Elite athletes demonstrate what might be termed "biosemiotic fluency" - the ability to fluidly translate between these systems, as evidenced by research showing combined cognitive-physical interventions outperform isolated training by 23-37% in skill acquisition (Brown & Fletcher, 2017). For example, a pole vaulter's mental image ("reaching for the sky") becomes embodied through specific shoulder kinematics while being continuously adjusted via proprioceptive feedback, illustrating this integrated system in action (Newell & Ranganathan, 2010).

Practical applications of these principles involve structured protocols that simultaneously engage multiple sign systems. Symbolic-physical mapping uses movement metaphors like "throw like cracking a whip" to activate both cognitive and motor networks (Lakoff & Johnson, 1999), while equipment design incorporates intentional semiotics, such as textured grips that intuitively communicate proper hand positioning (Newell & Ranganathan, 2010). Biofeedback visualization techniques transform physiological data into meaningful visual metaphors (e.g., representing heart rate variability as a pulsating energy field), enabling more intuitive physiological regulation (Yucha & Montgomery, 2008). Temporal synchronization methods create psychobiological coupling by aligning cognitive processes with movement rhythms, such as matching self-talk cadence to stride frequency in running, which research shows enhances movement efficiency (Brown & Fletcher, 2017).

The environment serves as a crucial component of this biosemiotic system. Training spaces can be designed with spatial layouts that naturally guide movement patterns (Wiltermuth & Heath, 2009), while modern

wearables now monitor interactions between systems through metrics like cognitive-motor coherence (EEG-EMG synchronization) (Ulrich et al., 2016). For skill acquisition, pairing demonstrations with sensory-rich metaphors has been shown to significantly improve learning by engaging multiple neural pathways (Decety & Grèzes, 2006). Recovery protocols similarly benefit from this integrated approach, combining specific postures with rhythmic breathing and imagery to activate parasympathetic responses (Goyal et al., 2014). Biofeedback-assisted imagery takes this further by allowing athletes to precisely calibrate their mental rehearsal based on real-time physiological data (Montgomery et al., 2007), while movement storytelling techniques use narrative structures to align proper technique with meaningful context, serving as both performance enhancement and injury prevention (Niedenthal et al., 2005). These applications collectively demonstrate how biosemiotic principles create a comprehensive framework for optimizing human performance across contexts, from elite athletics to rehabilitation and beyond.

Multiple Code Theory in Practice

The integration of symbolic and subsymbolic processing systems offers a robust biosemiotic framework for understanding how cognitive representations become embodied actions through interconnected modalities: the subsymbolic (sensory-motor and affective experience), the nonverbal symbolic (imagery and movement patterns), and the verbal symbolic (language-based thought). Empirical studies demonstrate that embedding emotional and cognitive symbolic tasks within physical training regimens enhances motor learning by 22-38% compared to physical training alone (Niedenthal et al., 2005), underscoring the symbiotic relationship between these modalities that is integral to biosemiotic functioning. For instance, when tennis players couple stroke practice with emotionally charged imagery ("smash like breaking through a barrier") and verbal self-talk ("explode now!"), They activate multiple processing systems simultaneously, creating stronger neural pathways than motor repetition alone (Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011). This multi-system integration explains why traditional movement therapies like yoga and tai chi, which systematically combine postures (subsymbolic), breath awareness (nonverbal symbolic), and intentional focus

(verbal symbolic), demonstrate such robust effects on both physical and mental health outcomes (Cramer et al., 2013; Wayne & Kaptchuk, 2008).

Clinical and performance applications reveal how individuals benefit from interventions that coordinate these processing modalities. In rehabilitation contexts, research shows that combining physical therapy with mental imagery of perfect movements (nonverbal symbolic) and positive self-talk (verbal symbolic) enhances recovery outcomes compared to conventional approaches (Montoya et al., 2011). These findings validate biosemiotics' central premise: human performance peaks when biological processes operate as coordinated meaning-making systems rather than isolated mechanical functions. The therapeutic process mirrors this integration, often beginning with subsymbolic grounding techniques (e.g., rhythmic breathing), progressing to movement metaphors, and ultimately incorporating verbal narrative - a progression that aligns with embodied cognition research (Niedenthal et al., 2005) and psychophysiological studies of mind-body integration (Goli, 2022).

Energy Medicine and Biophysical Insights

The body's endogenous bioelectrical processes constitute a fundamental biosemiotic medium, serving as carriers of biological information across multiple scales of organization. The biosemiotic perspective positions these biophysical phenomena as part of the body's meaning-making systems, where patterns of bioelectrical activity reflect physiological states and intentions (Goli, 2024). This bioelectrical communication extends to cellular coordination, where electrical potentials help regulate metabolic activity across tissue networks, integrating energetic and informational flows (Yucha & Montgomery, 2008).

Biofield therapies leverage these principles through interventions that interact with the body's bioelectrical processes. Clinical studies demonstrate that biofeedback approaches can significantly affect physiological regulation, enabling conscious development of self-regulation skills (Montgomery et al., 2007; Montoya et al., 2011). Research on mind-body therapies shows measurable effects on stress responses and immune function by working with these bioelectrical information systems (Henneghan et al., 2015; Jain & Mills, 2010).

The biosemiotic implications are significant: just as neurons communicate via electrical signals, multiple physiological systems appear to participate in bioelectrical coordination that carries functional information. This understanding aligns with the broader biosemiotic view of the body as an integrated meaning-making system (Barbieri, 2008; Goli, 2016).

Flow and Synergistic Performance

The phenomenon of flow represents the ultimate manifestation of biosemiotic integration, where cognitive, emotional, and physiological systems achieve optimal synchrony through integrated meaning-making processes. When viewed through the biosemiotic lens, optimal engagement emerges from the precise alignment of multiple channels: (1) attentional focus (symbolic), (2) kinesthetic awareness (sensory-motor), (3) emotional valence (affective), and (4) temporal perception (reflective) (Ulrich et al., 2016). Research confirms that flow states correspond to unique neurophysiological patterns, creating a state where meaning and movement become neurologically integrated (Singer, 2013). This explains why flow states simultaneously enhance both technical precision and creative improvisation in expert performers.

The biosemiotic perspective reveals flow as an emergent property of successfully integrated meaning systems. Studies demonstrate that during optimal performance states, neural networks enter coordinated patterns of activity, creating an environment where environmental stimuli are neither overwhelming nor underwhelming, but optimally processed (Kelso, 1995). This neural state facilitates what athletes describe as "the zone," where perception and action become seamlessly integrated. Research suggests these experiences reflect actual changes in perceptual-motor coupling, where attention and movement systems become precisely aligned (Newell & Ranganathan, 2010).

The practical implications are profound - by designing training environments that systematically align these semiotic channels through methods like biofeedback (Yucha & Montgomery, 2008) and intentional movement metaphors (Lakoff & Johnson, 1999), we can enhance the frequency and quality of flow experiences. These approaches leverage the fundamental biosemiotic principle that peak performance emerges from the

harmonious integration of symbolic and sensory-motor systems (Goli, 2016).

Conclusion

The biosemiotic approach to biomechanics offers a transformative paradigm for understanding human performance, health, and well-being as integrated meaning-making processes. This perspective reveals that optimal functioning emerges from the dynamic synchronization of multiple sign systems - material (physical movements), energetic (physiological processes), symbolic (cognitive representations), and reflective (self-monitoring) - operating as a unified semiotic network (Barbieri, 2008; Goli, 2016). The evidence presented demonstrates that peak performance occurs when these systems achieve coherence, from cellular communication to complex behavioral coordination (Kelso, 1995; Newell & Ranganathan, 2010).

Implications for Future Research and Practice

The biosemiotic framework outlined in this paper suggests several critical directions for future research that could significantly advance our understanding of integrated mind-body systems. First and foremost, studies should focus on developing quantitative biomarkers of biosemiotic alignment, particularly examining EEG-EMG coherence patterns during optimal performance states (Singer, 2013; Ulrich et al., 2016), along with other physiological correlates like heart rate variability and cortisol responses (Kemeny, 2007; McEwen, 2007). These investigations should be complemented by rigorous intervention studies comparing traditional training methods with biosemiotically-enhanced protocols across athletic, clinical, and occupational settings (Brown & Fletcher, 2017), including cross-cultural examinations of embodied cognition principles (Lakoff & Johnson, 1999). Particularly promising are longitudinal studies tracking the epigenetic modifications (Zhang & Meaney, 2013) and neural plasticity (Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011) resulting from sustained biosemiotic practice, which could reveal the durability of training effects across different performance domains (Goli, 2022). The development of standardized assessment tools for "semiotic fluency" and the implementation of existing

technologies, such as motion capture for movement semantics analysis and wearable biofeedback systems (Montgomery et al., 2007; Yucha & Montgomery, 2008), could provide valuable methodologies for these investigations. Ultimately, advancing this research agenda will require unprecedented interdisciplinary collaboration between neuroscientists, biomechanists, and cognitive scientists to develop comprehensive models that truly capture human performance as integrated meaning-making systems (Barbieri, 2008; Goli, 2016), with important implications for translating these findings into clinical, athletic, and occupational settings (Jain & Mills, 2010; Wayne & Kaptchuk, 2008). This coordinated research effort promises to bridge the current gap between theoretical understanding and practical application in the emerging field of biosemiotic biomechanics.

To operationalize this approach, it is recommended that training programs incorporate multi-modal strategies that activate symbolic, motoric, and energetic domains simultaneously. Structured use of guided imagery, synchronized self-talk, metaphor-based cues, and real-time biofeedback should be embedded into performance routines to foster semiotic coherence. Clinical rehabilitation settings may benefit from narrative-based movement therapies that reconnect symbolic intention with disrupted motor pathways, particularly in stroke or chronic pain populations. In ergonomic and workplace design, spatial and sensory cues can be aligned with desired behavioral patterns to reduce cognitive load and enhance efficiency. Ultimately, the biosemiotic perspective encourages a paradigm shift—from mechanistic models of training to integrated meaning-centered practices—enabling more adaptive, context-sensitive, and person-centered approaches to human health and performance.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude and appreciation to all participants.

Declaration of Interest

The authors of this article declared no conflict of interest.

Ethical Considerations

Not applicable.

Transparency of Data

Not applicable.

Funding

This research was carried out independently with personal funding and without the financial support of any governmental or private institution or organization.

Authors' Contributions

All authors equally contribute to this study.

References

- Abedini, Y. (2016). The impact of mental imagery combined with physical exercise on learning and retention of psychomotor skills. *Journal of Applied Psychological Research. Journal of Applied Psychological Research*, 7(2), 167-178. <https://doi.org/10.22059/japr.2016.63542>
- Akram, U., & Irvine, K. (2020). Depression Mediates Cutaneous Body Image and Facial Appearance Dissatisfaction in Insomnia. *Sleep and Biological Rhythms*, 18(2), 137-142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41105-020-00254-0>
- Barbieri, M. (2008). Biosemiotics: A new understanding of life. *Naturwissenschaften*, 95(7), 577-599. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-008-0368-x>
- Blouin-Hudon, E. M. C., Pychyl, T. A., Brown, D. J., & Fletcher, D. (2017). A Mental Imagery Intervention to Increase Future Self-Continuity and Reduce Procrastination Effects of psychological and psychosocial interventions on sport performance: A meta-analysis. *Applied Psychology*, 66(2), 326-352. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12088>
- Brown, D. J., & Fletcher, D. (2017). Effects of psychological and psychosocial interventions on sport performance: A meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 47(1), 77-99. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40279-016-0552-7>
- Cramer, H., Lauche, R., Langhorst, J., & Dobos, G. (2013). Yoga for depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Depression and Anxiety*, 30(11), 1068-1083. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22166>
- Decety, J., & Grèzes, J. (2006). The power of simulation: Imagining one's own and others' behavior. *Brain research*, 1079(1), 4-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2005.12.115>
- Gallese, V., & Sinigaglia, C. (2011). What is so special about embodied simulation? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 15(11), 512-519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.09.003>
- Goli, F. (2016). Medical practice in/with the semiosphere BT - Biosemiotic medicine: Healing in the world of meaning. In (pp. 217-239). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-35092-9_9
- Goli, F. (2022). Body, meaning, and time: Healing response as a transtemporal and multimodal meaning-making process. In *Epigenetics and anticipation* (pp. 79-97). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17678-4_6
- Goli, F. (2024). Biosemiotic medicines: Symbolic formulations for placebo enhancements. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 13(1), 156. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_1888_23
- Goli, F. (2025). Pleasure, power, meaning, and beyond: Towards a biosemiotic model of wellbeing. *International Journal of Body, Mind and Culture*, 12(1), 7-23. <https://doi.org/10.3384/ijbmc.1552-6127.120107>
- Goyal, M., Singh, S., Sibinga, E. M., Gould, N. F., Rowland-Seymour, A., Sharma, R., Berger, Z., Sleicher, D., Maron, D. D., Shihab, H. M., Ranasinghe, P. D., Linn, S., Saha, S., Bass, E. B., & Haythornthwaite, J. A. (2014). Meditation programs for psychological stress and well-being: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 174(3), 357-368. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.13018>
- Henneghan, A. M., Schnyer, R. N., Jain, S., & Mills, P. J. (2015). Biofield therapies for symptom management in palliative and end-of-life care. Biofield therapies: helpful or full of hype? A best evidence synthesis. *American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine*, 32(1), 90-100. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049909113509400>
- Jain, S., & Mills, P. J. (2010). Biofield therapies: helpful or full of hype? A best evidence synthesis. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 17(1), 1-16. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12529-009-9062-4>
- Ji, Y., & Wang, H. (2025). Empowering Athletes: The Application of Biomechanics in Physical Education Teaching. *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics*, 22(3), 306. <https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb306>
- Kelso, J. A. S. (1995). *Dynamic patterns: The self-organization of brain and behavior*. MIT Press. <https://books.google.com>
- Kemeny, M. E. (2007). *Psychobiological responses to social threat: Evolution of a psychobiological model* (Vol. 37). <https://www.sciencedirect.com>
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1999). *Philosophy in the flesh: The embodied mind and its challenge to Western thought*. Basic Books. <https://books.google.com>
- Li, J., Liu, W., & Chen, F. (2025). A Planning Decision Support Model Integrating Bioinformatics and Occupational Health Data With an Emphasis on Biomechanics. *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics*, 22(1), 528. <https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb528>
- Liu, C., & Wang, Y. (2025). Research on the Application of Biomechanical Analysis in Optimizing Movement Techniques in Physical Education Teaching. *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics*, 22(4), 926. <https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb926>
- Ma, C. (2024). DistaNet: Grasp-Specific Distance Biofeedback Promotes the Retention of Myoelectric Skills. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 21(3), 036037. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/ad4af7>
- McEwen, B. S. (2007). Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: Central role of the brain. *Physiological Reviews*, 87IS-3, 873-904. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00041.2006>
- McGee, S. L., & Walder, K. R. (2017). *Exercise and the regulation of adipose tissue metabolism* (Vol. 135). <https://www.sciencedirect.com>
- Montgomery, G. H., DuHamel, K. N., & Redd, W. H. (2007). A meta-analysis of hypnotically induced analgesia: How effective is hypnosis? *International journal of clinical and experimental hypnosis*, 55(3), 263-279. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00207140008410045>

- Montoya, P., Larbig, W., & Flor, H. (2011). Effects of sensory-motor coordination in pain and its attention-related brain mechanisms. *Journal of Pain*, 12(10), 1008-1017. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00207140008410045>
- Mzoughi, M. N., Chaieb, S., & Garrouch, K. (2023). Effects of the Variation of Rhetorical Ambiguity on Advertising Persuasion: Mediating Role of the Mental Imagery and Moderating Role of the Tolerance to Ambiguity. *Marketing ZFP*, 45(3), 75-90. <https://doi.org/10.15358/0344-1369-2023-3-75>
- Newell, K. M., & Ranganathan, R. (2010). *Instructions as constraints in motor learning. Skill acquisition in sport: Research, theory and practice*. RoutledgeER -. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/>
- Niedenthal, P. M., Barsalou, L. W., Winkielman, P., Krauth-Gruber, S., & Ric, F. (2005). Embodiment in attitudes, social perception, and emotion. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 9(3), 184-211. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0903_1
- Niknasab, F., Zareli, M., Fakorean, A., & Sharbatzadeh, R. (2021). Comparison of the Effectiveness of Mindfulness and Mental Imagery Training on Cortisol Levels, Anxiety, and Self-Confidence in Officer Students. *Journal of Sports and Motor Development and Learning*, 13(2), 149-161. <https://doi.org/10.22059/jmlm.2021.316548.1555>
- Pei, Z., & Wang, M. (2025). New Applications of Sports Biomechanics in Human Health and Athletic Performance. *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics*, 22(5), 1441. <https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb1441>
- Rodgers, R. F., O'Flynn, J. L., Bourdeau, A., & Zimmerman, E. (2018). A biopsychosocial model of body image, disordered eating, and breastfeeding among postpartum women. *Appetite*, 126, 163-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2018.04.002>
- Schiller, D. (2022). And the Flesh in Between: Towards a Health Semiotics. *Biosemitotics*, 16(1), 175-194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12304-022-09516-5>
- Singer, W. (2013). Cortical dynamics revisited. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences VL* - 17(12), 616-626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.09.006>
- Stano, S. (2021). Food, Health and the Body: A Biosemiotic Approach to Contemporary Eating Habits. In 43-60. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-67115-0_3
- Ulrich, B. D., Reppin, J., & Stelmach, G. E. (2016). Motor control and learning in young and old adults. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 44(2), 74-84. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
- Wayne, P. M., & Kaptchuk, T. J. (2008). Challenges inherent to t'ai chi research: Part I-t'ai chi as a complex multicomponent intervention. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 14(1), 95-102. <https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2007.7170A>
- Wiltermuth, S. S., & Heath, C. (2009). Synchrony and cooperation. *Psychological science*, 20(1), 1-5. <https://journals.sagepub.com/>
- Yu, J. (2025). Optimizing NASM-OPT Training in Hybrid Cheerleading Education: A Biomechanical Approach. *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics*, 22(3), 1330. <https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb1330>
- Yucha, C., & Montgomery, D. (2008). *Evidence-based practice in biofeedback and neurofeedback (3rd ed.)*. AAPB. <https://neurofeedbackclinic.ca>
- Zhang, T. Y., & Meaney, M. J. (2013). Epigenetics and the environmental regulation of the genome and its function. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 439-466. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163625>
- Zhao, Y. (2025). Multivariate Emotional AI Model for Enhancing Students' Ideological Education and Mental Health via Brain-Computer Interfaces and Biomechanics. *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics*, 22(3), 1049. <https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb1049>