

Article type:
Original Research

1 Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN
Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada.

Corresponding author email address:
hadialitabar@kmanresce.ca



Article history:

Received 21 Nov 2024
Revised 14 Jan 2025
Accepted 19 Feb 2025
Published online 10 Mar 2025

How to cite this article:

Seyed Alitabar, SH. (2025). The Biopsychosocial Model in Modern Healthcare: Overcoming Barriers to Holistic Patient Care. *International Journal of Body, Mind and Culture*, 12(2), 1-4.



© 2025 the authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

The biopsychosocial model has been a cornerstone in advancing healthcare paradigms beyond the traditional biomedical approach. Initially conceptualized as a holistic framework that integrates biological, psychological, and social dimensions of health and disease, the model has gained widespread recognition in medical practice, particularly in the management of chronic illnesses, pain, and mental health conditions. Despite its theoretical robustness and growing empirical support, the implementation of this model in clinical practice remains inconsistent, hindered by systemic,

The Biopsychosocial Model in Modern Healthcare: Overcoming Barriers to Holistic Patient Care

Seyed Hadi. Seyed Alitabar^{1*}

ABSTRACT

The biopsychosocial model has reshaped contemporary healthcare by emphasizing the interconnectedness of biological, psychological, and social factors in health and disease. Unlike the traditional biomedical model, this approach offers a more holistic framework for managing chronic illnesses, pain disorders, and mental health conditions. Despite its well-documented benefits, the model faces significant implementation barriers, including the dominance of reductionist medical education, inadequate interdisciplinary collaboration, and financial constraints in healthcare systems. This editorial highlights the necessity of integrating biopsychosocial principles into clinical practice and discusses strategies to overcome systemic challenges. Key recommendations include revising medical education to incorporate psychosocial training, reforming healthcare policies to support multidisciplinary care, and leveraging digital health technologies to facilitate biopsychosocial interventions. Addressing these obstacles is essential to ensuring patient-centered, effective, and sustainable healthcare systems globally.

Keywords: Biopsychosocial Model, Healthcare Integration, Multidisciplinary Care, Holistic Medicine

professional, and cultural barriers (Aung, 2025). This editorial explores the ongoing significance of the biopsychosocial model, its contributions to patient care, and the critical challenges that need to be addressed to ensure its effective integration into healthcare systems globally.

The biopsychosocial model has been instrumental in redefining medical care by emphasizing the interplay of multiple factors in disease etiology and patient recovery (Bolton & Gillett, 2019a). Unlike the reductionist biomedical model, which focuses solely on physiological

abnormalities, the biopsychosocial perspective underscores that psychological states and social contexts significantly influence health outcomes (Bartholomew, 2023). This holistic approach has proven particularly effective in managing conditions such as chronic pain, where psychological and social factors play a crucial role in symptom persistence and patient disability (Ng et al., 2023).

A growing body of research supports the application of the biopsychosocial model in pain management, rehabilitation, and mental health interventions (Ng et al., 2021). For example, studies have demonstrated that chronic musculoskeletal pain is not merely a physiological issue but also a consequence of psychological stress and socio-environmental determinants (Back et al., 2020). Integrating psychological interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy, with physical rehabilitation strategies has been shown to yield better patient outcomes compared to purely biomedical approaches (Ampiah et al., 2020).

In mental healthcare, the biopsychosocial model has informed interventions that address both biological factors, such as neurotransmitter imbalances, and psychosocial determinants, such as adverse childhood experiences and socioeconomic status (Fulford, 2020). The model has further expanded the conceptualization of mental health disorders, leading to more personalized and context-sensitive treatment plans (Goodwin et al., 2020).

Despite its advantages, the biopsychosocial model has faced significant challenges in its implementation. One of the primary obstacles is the entrenched dominance of the biomedical model in medical education and clinical practice (Nakamura & Tanaka, 2023). Many healthcare professionals are trained in a reductionist framework that prioritizes pathology, laboratory diagnostics, and pharmacological interventions over psychological and social determinants of health (Steele et al., 2021). As a result, practitioners may lack the necessary skills to integrate psychosocial assessments and interventions into routine care (Hietaharju et al., 2021).

Another critical barrier is the lack of interdisciplinary collaboration in healthcare settings. The biopsychosocial model necessitates a team-based approach that includes physicians, psychologists, social workers, and rehabilitation specialists. However, fragmentation

within healthcare systems often limits such collaboration, particularly in resource-constrained environments (Alsudairy et al., 2023). For instance, in many low- and middle-income countries, healthcare systems remain predominantly focused on treating acute conditions, with limited capacity to address chronic illnesses through multidisciplinary care (Nduwimana et al., 2021).

Financial constraints and healthcare policies further complicate the integration of biopsychosocial interventions. Many insurance models prioritize reimbursement for biomedical treatments, such as surgeries and pharmacotherapy, while failing to cover psychosocial interventions, including psychotherapy and social support programs (Azlan & Gale, 2024). Consequently, clinicians may be disincentivized from adopting a holistic approach, given the financial and structural constraints of healthcare institutions (Somjee & Esbitt, 2020).

To overcome these barriers, healthcare systems must undergo structural reforms to facilitate the integration of the biopsychosocial model. First, medical education curricula need to be revised to include training in psychosocial aspects of health and interprofessional collaboration (Robinson & Taylor, 2017). Early exposure to biopsychosocial principles can help future healthcare professionals develop the competencies required to implement holistic care strategies (Wade & Halligan, 2017).

Additionally, there is a need for policy-level changes that support reimbursement for biopsychosocial interventions. Insurance providers and healthcare policymakers must recognize the long-term benefits of integrated care, particularly in reducing healthcare costs associated with chronic diseases (Klawonn et al., 2019). Evidence suggests that early psychosocial interventions can mitigate disease progression and reduce hospital readmission rates, making a compelling economic case for investment in biopsychosocial healthcare models (Bolton & Gillett, 2019b).

Moreover, digital health technologies offer new opportunities for implementing the biopsychosocial model. Telemedicine platforms can facilitate remote psychological counseling, social support programs, and interdisciplinary case discussions, thereby overcoming some logistical barriers in healthcare delivery (Ng et al., 2021). Artificial intelligence-driven tools are also being

explored to integrate biopsychosocial data into clinical decision-making, enabling personalized treatment plans that reflect the complexity of each patient's condition (Hietaharju et al., 2021).

The biopsychosocial model remains an indispensable framework for contemporary healthcare, offering a more comprehensive and patient-centered approach than the traditional biomedical paradigm. Despite its demonstrated benefits, significant challenges—including entrenched biomedical traditions, systemic fragmentation, and financial constraints—continue to hinder its full implementation. Addressing these barriers requires a multi-pronged strategy that includes medical education reform, policy adjustments, and technological innovations.

Acknowledgments

None.

Declaration of Interest

The authors of this article declared no conflict of interest.

Ethical Considerations

Not applicable.

Transparency of Data

In accordance with the principles of transparency and open research, we declare that all data and materials used in this study are available upon request.

Funding

This research was carried out independently with personal funding and without the financial support of any governmental or private institution or organization.

Authors' Contributions

All authors equally contributed to this study.

References

Alsudairy, N. M., Alsaif, H. A., Alhassan, K. W., Alenzi, M. M. G., Boobaid, N. E. M., Al-Sawat, H. T., Faisal, S. A. B. O., Alhayyaf, H. H., Alanazi, M. M. A., Bashawri, R. J., Saleh, S. J. B., Altamimey, Y. D., Rudayni, Y. H., M. A. A. Y., & B. A. A. A. (2023). The Impact of Psychosocial Factors of Physical

Health Outcomes: A Review of the Biopsychosocial Model in Family Medicine. *Jaz.*

<https://doi.org/10.53555/jaz.v44i5.3320>

Ampiah, P. K., Hendrick, P., Moffatt, F., & Ahenkorah, J. (2020). Operationalisation of a Biopsychosocial Approach for the Non-pharmacological Management of Patients With Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain in Low- and Middle-income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Musculoskeletal Care*, 18(3), 227-244. <https://doi.org/10.1002/msc.1462>

Aung, H. L. (2025). Adapting the Biopsychosocial Model: The Role of Young Healthcare Professionals in Myanmar's Evolving Healthcare System. <https://doi.org/10.14293/pr2199.001500.v1>

Azlan, A., & Gale, K. (2024). Compliance With a Biopsychosocial Assessment Template When Assessing Presentations of Self-Harm or Suicidal Ideation by Liaison Psychiatry Practitioners in Dorset Healthcare: A Clinical Audit. *BJPsych Open*, 10(S1), S216-S217. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2024.536>

Back, C. G. N., Liebano, R. E., & Ávila, M. A. (2020). Perspectives of Implementing the Biopsychosocial Model to Treat Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain in Primary Health Care. *Pain Management*, 11(2), 217-225. <https://doi.org/10.2217/pmt-2020-0024>

Bartholomew, C. (2023). The Biopsychosocial Approach to Behavioral Healthcare. 77-87. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003284383-9>

Bolton, D., & Gillett, G. (2019a). Biopsychosocial Conditions of Health and Disease. 109-145. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11899-0_4

Bolton, D., & Gillett, G. (2019b). The Biopsychosocial Model 40 Years On. 1-43. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11899-0_1

Fulford, K. W. M. (2020). Minority Report: Values, Teamwork, and Implementing Biopsychosocial Psychiatry. 109-128. <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780198789697.003.0008>

Goodwin, T.-a., Lowry, T. J., Meurk, C., & Neillie, D. (2020). Treating the Untreatable? The Biopsychosocial Treatment of Delusional Disorder: A Case Study. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 28(4), 433-437. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1039856220901463>

Hietaharju, M., Näpänkangas, R., Sipilä, K., Teerijoki-Oksa, T., Tanner, J., Kempainen, P., Tolvanen, M., & Suvinen, T. (2021). Importance of the Graded Chronic Pain Scale as a Biopsychosocial Screening Instrument in TMD Pain Patient Subtyping. *Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache*, 303-316. <https://doi.org/10.11607/ofph.2983>

Klawonn, A., Kernan, D., & Lynskey, J. V. (2019). A 5-Week Seminar on the Biopsychosocial-Spiritual Model of Self-Care Improves Anxiety, Self-Compassion, Mindfulness, Depression, and Stress in Graduate Healthcare Students. *International Journal of Yoga Therapy*, 29(1), 81-89. <https://doi.org/10.17761/d-18-2019-00026>

Nakamura, A., & Tanaka, K. (2023). A Boy With Dissociative Symptoms Who Benefited From Biopsychosocial Assessment. *Pediatrics International*, 65(1). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ped.15502>

Nduwimana, I., Nindorera, F., Sinzakaraye, A., Bleyenheuft, Y., Thonnard, J. L., & Kossi, O. (2021). Biopsychosocial Factors Associated With Chronic Low Back Pain in Burundi. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-754486/v1>

Ng, W., Beales, D., Gucciardi, D. F., & Slater, H. (2023). Applying the Behavioural Change Wheel to Guide the Implementation of a Biopsychosocial Approach to Musculoskeletal Pain Care. *Frontiers in Pain Research*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpain.2023.1169178>

Ng, W., Slater, H., Starcevich, C., Wright, A., Mitchell, T., & Beales, D. (2021). Barriers and Enablers Influencing

- Healthcare Professionals' Adoption of a Biopsychosocial Approach to Musculoskeletal Pain: A Systematic Review and Qualitative Evidence Synthesis. *Pain*, 162(8), 2154-2185. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002217>
- Robinson, W. D., & Taylor, N. C. (2017). Assessment, Biopsychosocial. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483369532.n25>
- Somjee, L., & Esbitt, S. (2020). The Biopsychosocial Assessment. 23-36. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108650182.003>
- Steele, C., Berry, K., & Brown, L. (2021). Healthcare Professionals' Experiences of Using a Biopsychosocial Approach to Understand Behavioural and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia: A Qualitative Interview Study. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/opn.12427>
- Wade, D. T., & Halligan, P. W. (2017). The Biopsychosocial Model of Illness: A Model Whose Time Has Come. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 31(8), 995-1004. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215517709890>